THE RELATIONS BETWEEN EGYPT AND THE SOUTHERN LEVANT DURING THE LATE IRON AGE: THE MATERIAL EVIDENCE FROM EGYPT

By Aren M. Maeir

I. INTRODUCTION

The close connections that existed between Egypt and the Southern Levant during the terminal Iron age (and their political, economic and social background), is hardly a new topic of study. It has been discussed in numerous contexts and from different perspectives, relying on diverse sources of information (e.g. Freedy & Redford 1970; Görg 1991; James 1991:714–726,734–735; Kitchen 1986; 1988; Malamat 1983:223–291; Mitchell 1991a:383–409; Na’aman 1991; Redford 1985; 1992:312–471; Rowe 1936; Smith 1991; Trigger et al. 1983:337–348). In most studies, the material evidence discussed originated from sites in the Southern Levant (for outdated surveys, see e.g. Rowe 1936; Porter & Moss 1951:369–382). In most cases, when dealing with the Egyptian evidence, such discussions dealt solely with the somewhat limited historical evidence from Egypt, as well as the biblical (Isa, 11:11; Jer. 24:8; 26:20–24; 40–44 passim; II Kings 23:29ff.; II Chron. 36:4. For commentaries on the predominant material [Jeremiah 40–44], see e.g. Carroll 1986; Holladay 1989), and extra-biblical texts which allude to a Palestinian or Judean presence in Egypt during the terminal Iron age. Even in the studies that have noted and discussed actual objects of apparent Levantine origin that have been discovered in Egypt (e.g. Aston 1996; Gratt en 1996; Paige 1986–1987) and even in reports by archaeologists whose expertise is Syro-Palestinian archaeology (e.g. Oren 1984), these finds were not dealt with comprehensively and/or in their wider context. The parallels from other sites in Egypt were for the most part not mentioned and their underlying historical implications were not explicated. Though one cannot claim that the Egypto-Levantine connections during this period are the most significant and/or conspicuous aspect of the late Iron Age Egyptian culture, they nevertheless do represent an interest-

1 I would like to thank S. Ahituv, B. Brandl, I. Ephal, P. French, S. Gitin, A. Mazar, J. Naveh, E. Oren, B. Porten, and S. Stern for reading and commenting on earlier drafts of this paper, and G. Barkay and B. Sass for discussing several issues with me. Earlier versions of this paper were read during 1993, at the advanced seminar of the Bible department of the Hebrew University, at the 19th Archaeological Conference in Israel, both held in Jerusalem, and at the departmental seminar of the Institute of Archaeology, Tel Aviv University. I would like to acknowledge the various input received from the participants of these lectures. Finally, I would like to thank H. Bettan who redrew the pottery depicted in Fig. 1 and M. Caplan for preparing the map in Fig. 2. Needless to say, the author alone is responsible for any mistakes and omissions within. This paper was submitted in 1998 and partially updated in January 1999.

2 Since the publication of these two works, abundant Aegyptiaca of the late Iron age has been found in the Land of Israel, which can but demonstrate the need for an updated, comprehensive work on this subject. In the interim, material can be gleaned from J. Leclant’s periodic surveys of Aegyptiaca found outside of Egypt in his reviews Fouilles et travaux en Égypte et au Soudan ..., appearing regularly in the volumes of Orientalia. For a recent treatment by the current author of a possible late Iron age Aegyptiaca from Jerusalem, see Maeir 1990 (see though Görg 1992).

3 On the historical sources of this period (both Egyptian and non-Egyptian), see e.g. the various studies in quoted above. Save for Kitchen’s (1989:30) somewhat tenuous suggestion (relating to a slightly earlier period) that the treasures of Shoshenq I and Osorkon I are of Judean/Israelite origin (though see Redford 1992:337, n. 79) and Bietak’s recent identification of a “four room house” at Thebes (Bietak 1999), there are few (if any) references to material evidence of Palestinian origin in pre-Persian, Iron age Egypt. This lacuna has been recently noted by Valbelle 1990:299–240.

4 The following sources can be noted: 1) Lachish Letter 3 (Tur-Sinaï & Ahituv 1987:232; Gross 1985); 2) The “Adon” papyrus, which was undoubtedly sent to Egypt from somewhere in the southern part of the Land of Israel. Porten (1981) has argued that the letter was sent from Miqne/Eqron in Philistia; 3) An additional clear reference to this presence can be seen in Letter of Ariston 12–13; Redford (1985:294, n. 62), has suggested an additional allusion to a Judean presence in Egypt in Diodorus I 66, though in fact there is only mention of Phoenicians. For summaries of the various historical data (or lack thereof) on the Judean presence in Egypt in the late Iron age, see e.g. Kraeling 1953:42–48; Porten 1968:7–16; Grelot 1972:33–42; Hayes & Miller 1977:486–488; Bright 1981:346–347; Resciani & Porten 1984:358–400; Mitchell 1991b:429; Modzelewski 1995:21–26.
Fig. 1. Levantine Pottery from Late Iron Age (Saite) Egypt. 1) Decanter from Defenneh; 2–3) Decanters from Illahun; 4) Decanter from Kafr Ammar; 5) Cooking jug (?) from Defenneh; 6) Cooking pot from Kafr Ammar; 7) Palestinian Storage Jar (Judean?) from Defenneh; 8) Phoenician-Levantian Storage Jar from Defenneh. All vessels were redrawn from the original publications. Scales are approximate (for references see text).
In this study, I will attempt to assemble a provisional catalogue of objects which appear to be either of Palestinian provenance or influence, which have been reported from Late Iron Age Egyptian sites (approximately the duration of the Saite Period, 664–525 BCE). I will then proceed to suggest possible interpretations of this evidence.

II. CATALOGUE

In the catalogue, I have attempted to assemble all objects which appear to be of Palestinian origin or display similarity to well-known Palestinian types that have been reported from late Iron Age sites throughout Egypt (and the Sudan). Several qualifying statements are required. Some of these finds are reported in earlier excavation reports and thus one can question the accuracy of the graphic description. This may be true to a certain extent, but most of the objects are on the one hand so blatantly non-Egyptian types, while on the other hand easily identified as being of Levantine origin or influence. This is confirmed by more recent photographs and/or illustrations of some of these finds (e.g. BOURRIAU 1981:80–81, ill. 156). Though I have not personally examined all these objects, and cannot determine the actual provenience of these objects (based either on macroscopic views of pastes or through various analytic provenience methods), this does not negate the discussion below. Even if some (or most) of these objects were actually manufactured in Egypt and were not imported from the Levant, they still are of interest and have significant historical and archaeological implications, since they as well would express cultural and/or physical connections. In the following list, these finds (which are primarily ceramics) are arranged according to types as found at the respective sites (see Fig. 2).

A. Palestinian “decanter” jugs

Defenneh: In Petrie’s excavations (PETRIE et al. 1888) in the late 1880’s at Defenneh, identified as biblical Tahpanhes/classical Daphnae (on the identification, see e.g. ALBRIGHT 1950; JONES & FIEMA 1992), evidence was uncovered of a thriving center of the 26th Dyn. (late 7th–early 6th cent. BCE). Both Petrie (PETRIE et al. 1888) and later scholars (e.g. AUSTIN 1970; BOARDMAN 1980:133–134) noted the substantial amount of finds of Greek origin, and thus concluded that there was a Greek presence at the site, most likely a colony of Greek mercenaries. In addi-

Fig. 2 Sites and Regions in Egypt and Sudan mentioned in the Text

5 Note though that PETRIE’s original dating of the Greek material at the site is high, and it should be re-dated to the first half of the 6th cent. BCE. This was noted already by von BOTHMER (1947:175) and more recently reiterated by BOARDMAN (1980:133–134).
tion, it was suggested that a Judean mercenary contingent resided in Defenneh as well. Petrie (Petrie et al. 1888:49–52) already had discussed the Judean presence at the site, though he did not present any material remains to prove this. Fontaine, who investigated the site in the 1940s (Fontaine 1947:41–57), also discussed the Judean presence, but once again without demonstrating any physical evidence to this effect. Since then the Judean military presence at the site has been discussed (e.g. Porten 1969:8–16; Oren 1984:31–34), but nevertheless, no mention of material evidence supporting this has been produced.

Among the finds that Petrie assumed were Greek, there is what appears to be a late Iron Age Palestinian “decanter” jug (Fig. 1:1; Petrie et al. 1888:pl. XXXV:44,64). This vessel, along with several other vessels from Defenneh, was first noticed by Bliss & Macalister (1902:102, pl. 49:1,2,6) who though comparing it to pottery found in their excavations in Southern Palestine, did not question Petrie’s suggestion to identify it as being of Greek origin. Surprisingly, in later research, this vessel from Defenneh has seemingly gone unnoticed, save for a passing remark by Tufnell (1953:253).7

Illahun (Figs. 1:2–3): Two such vessels were reported from this site (Petrie et al. 1890, pl. XXIV:20,25), and once again identified as being of Aegean origin. One of these decanters (Fig. 1:2) was more recently republished by Bourriau (1981:80–81, ill. 156), and though comparing it to pottery found in their excavations in Southern Palestine, did not question Petrie’s suggestion to identify it as being of Greek origin. Surprisingly, in later research, this vessel from Defenneh has seemingly gone unnoticed, save for a passing remark by Tufnell (1953:253).7

Kafr Ammar (Figs. 1:4): An additional decanter was discovered in the excavations at Petrie and Mackay’s excavations at Kafr Ammar, in a context securely dated to the late 25th or early 26th Dyn (Petrie & Mackay 1915:33, pl. XXXIV:60).8

Tell el Heir: Among the “Syro-Palestinian” pottery that Oren reported from T.21, the fortified site situated immediately to the north of Tell el Heir, there is the upper portion of a decanter of a distinct, southern Palestinian, late Iron age (7th–6th cent. BCE) class (Oren 1984:fig. 18; for a relatively recent discussion of such decanters, see e.g. Gitin 1990:154). The close dating (late 7th cent. BCE until 525 BCE) of these finds should be stressed (Oren 1984:28).

Saqqara: In their recent publication of the pottery from excavations at Saqqara, French and Ghaly included some material which appears morphologically quite similar to the “decanter” type jugs (French & Ghaly 1991:108–109, fig. 38). One must note though that the fabric of these two vessels was defined as local Egyptian, and that the authors date the context to the early 4th cent. BCE (ibid., 96–97). Due though to the similarity to the decanters mentioned above, one can wonder whether this and per-chance some of the other material found in the same context (which does not seem to originate from secure stratigraphic contexts) can be dated earlier than the authors have suggested.

All these jugs (save perhaps for the Saqqara example) are, as mentioned, of distinct Palestinian style, and can be closely dated to the very end of the Iron age. Although this generic decanter type first appears in the southern Levant in the “Lachish III” ceramic horizon (pre–701 BCE), these specific sub-types are primarily representative of the “Lachish II” horizon (701–586 BCE) (see e.g. Tufnell 1953:292–293, pl. 87:273–276; Mazar et al. 1966:31–32,55, figs. 20:1–5,31:1–3; Aharoni 1975:15, pls. 44:17–18, 47:25–26; Aharoni & Aharoni 1976:73–90, 84, 86, fig. 7:8; Gitin 1990:154; Zimhoni 1990:44–46, fig. 33:5).9 It should be stated that these vessels are particularly common in Judah, e.g., Dothan & Porath 1982:34–35, pl. 20:10.

B. Mortaria

Tell el Heir: Among the “Syro-Palestinian pottery” from the excavations of T.21, the site adjacent to Tell el Heir, Oren reported several flat-based mortaria

---

6 Note that Petrie claimed that this jug was of Greek origin, based on the comparison to similar finds from Naucratis!

7 A possible reference to this vessel may be hinted to by Holladay (1992:590–591).

8 Note that Duncan (1930:pl. 65:C2), though intimately familiar with Petrie’s work in Egypt did not notice these parallels from Egypt while preparing his corpus of Palestinian pottery.

9 See the parallels noted in the previous note, which are all Judean, save for the examples from Miqne/Eqron. Although Miqne is situated in Philistia, it is adjacent to the western border of the kingdom of Judah, which may explain the presence of these vessels at this site. This can be corroborated by the negligible amount of decanters at sites in the heartland of Philistia, such as at Ashdod. See Dothan & Porath 1982.
bowls (OREN 1984:17, figs. 21:9–10, 31). Additional samples have been discovered in the more recent excavations at Tell el Heir (GRATIEN & SOULIÉ 1988:45, fig. 13:d; GRATIEN 1996:77, fig. 24:d [though the last example from a later context]). These bowls have long been recognized as being typical of late Iron age contexts in the southern Levant (as opposed to the ring-based type that is typical of the Persian period). Though these vessels are common in Palestine, they are reported from other regions of the eastern Mediterranean basin (GITIN 1990:210–212; BENNETT & BLAKELY 1989:196–203). Oren in fact suggested to see them as a component of the trade-related vessels at this site (OREN 1984:17).

C. Cooking pots

Defenneh: A small single handled jug (Fig. 1:5) was found in proximity to the afore-mentioned decanter. Like the decanter, PETRIE also regarded it as being of Greek origin (PETRIE et al. 1888:64, pl. XXXV:43). Although the inadequate drawing makes a comparison difficult, the vessel is quite reminiscent of late Iron Age Judean cooking jugs (for specimens with one handle, see e.g. ALBRIGHT 1932:pl. 57:14; TUFNELL 1953:288–289, pl. 84:175; AHARONI 1975:pl. 45:1; for specimens with two handles [which Petrie explicitly states were found with the decanter in a 6th cent. context], see e.g. TUFNELL 1953:319–314, pl. 93:447–448; MAZAR et al. 1966:fig. 18; AHARONI 1975:pl. 43:4; 49:4; 50:12; HERZOG et al. 1984:1–34, fig. 25:8). It should be stressed that this vessel is quite different from both the contemporary Egyptian pottery (see e.g. OREN 1984:13–17; FRENCH 1986;1988;1992; GHALY & FRENCH 1991), as well as the Greek pottery known from Egypt (e.g. OREN 1984:23–28, the Aegean (e.g. BRANN 1962; SPARKES & TALCOTT 1970) and the southern Levant (e.g. NAVEH 1962; REICH 1989; for general discussion, see WALDBAUM 1994:58–59).

Kafr Ammar: A cooking pot of a distinct Palestinian form (Fig. 1:6), was reported at this site in the same context as the decanters mentioned above (PETRIE and MACKAY 1915:33–34, pl. XXXIII:35; compare to Palestinian examples in TUFNELL 1953:93:450; GITIN 1989:44, fig. 2.13:13).

D. Storage Jars

Storage jars of diverse origin are by their functional nature much more commonly found in remote contexts. Nevertheless, a significant number of jars that appear to derive from the southern Levant have been reported in late Iron Age contexts in Egypt. This may reflect the close connections that existed between the two regions. Among the jars that I will note there are two generic types: 1) Jars that appear predominantly at sites in southern Israel; 2) Jars that can be defined as “Phoenician/ Palestinian” commercial jars, that may originate from a wider geographical zone.

1) Distinctly southern Levantine Jars

Defenneh: Among the storage jars that were reported from this site, one example appears to belong to a type that is very common in southern Palestine (Fig. 1:7; PETRIE et al. 1888:pl. XXXIII:3). As STERN (1982:104, fig. 140; See as well RABAN 1980:84–85) has already noted, though it is a type that appears primarily during the Persian period, it has Iron age antecedents, particularly from Judah (see e.g. MAZAR et al. 1966:fig. 22:3–4; AHARONI and AHARONI 1976:fig. 7:5, 8:5; KELM & MAZAR 1985:114, fig. 18:9; MAZAR & MAZAR 1989:pls. 4, 5; for a recent discussion of examples from northern Israel, see LEHMANN 1996:441–442, taf. 76–77). These jars are not to be mistaken with a vaguely similar Egyptian type appearing at contemporary Egyptian sites (e.g. FRENCH 1986:fig. 9.8.J1.1.3).

Tell el-Heir: A jar, quite similar to that described above was recently reported from the renewed excavations at this site. Apparently though, it derives from a Persian period context (GRATIEN 1996:71, fig. 16:a).

2) Generic Phoenician/Palestinian Commercial Jars

A much more commonly reported jar of presumed Syro-Palestinian origin is the ubiquitous commercial jar of the late Iron age/Persian period. These jars (which are variably called Phoenician/Palestinian/ Levantine), are found throughout the Mediterranean basin and served as containers for trade. Thus, though a portion of these jars may in fact originate from Palestine, some may have arrived to

---

10 Despite the above, one should note that there is a limited affinity to a certain type of cooking jug, noted in late 7th to early 5th cent. BCE Aegean contexts. See e.g. BRANN 1962:55, pl. 11:218; SPARKES & TALCOTT 1970:206, pls. 76:1657–1658; 93:1933–1937.

11 Note that once again, BLISS & MACALISTER (1902) already compared this jar from Defenneh to the Palestinian material.
Egypt in an indirect fashion, or perchance may derive from other regions in the southern Levant (e.g. Lebanon, Syria), while some may have actually been produced in Egypt (for a discussion of these jars, see e.g. Raban 1980:80–83; Oren 1984:17; Bennett & Blakely 1990:207–214,222–223; Lehmann 1994:433–440, taf. 71–76). They should thus be seen within the context of the international trade of this period (for discussions of this trade, see e.g. Lemaire 1987; Dever 1985; Stager 1996 [who stresses the importance of Egypto-Levantine connections]; Gitin 1997 [who stresses the Levantine-Mesopotamian connection]). Nevertheless, as stated above, the wide dispersal of these jars may be indicative of the close connections between Egypt and the Levant. Though clearly such jars reached many sites and those published may not represent the entire corpus, a provisional list of such jars has been collected below.12 Included are examples from sites from Egypt and the Sudan, going from north to south. It should be stressed that examples from both the late Iron Age and the Persian period have been grouped together since it is not always possible to differentiate between them.

**Farama West:** Carrez-Maratray & Deferez 1996:44, fig. 4:1.

**Tanis:** Bressaud et al. 1987:76, fig. 13:155–156.

**Mendes:** Several such jars were reported from this site and the excavators assumed that they originated in Palestine (Wilson 1982:20, pl. XIX:1–2; Hummel & Shubert 1991–1992:14–15, fig. 2:3–4).

**Naukratis:** Petrie 1934:pls. XVI:3, LIII:46N2.


**Defenneh:** A single example (our Fig. 1:8) was published by Petrie from this site (Petrie et al. 1888:pl. XXXIII:4).

**T. er-Rataba:** Petrie 1906:pl. 36a:7.


**Heliopolis:** Petrie & Mackay 1915:pl. XI:34.

**Giza:** Hassan 1941:118, 18–20, 21–25, D,E,26, 27–B, pl. LIII.

**Memphis:** Culicain 1973:fig. 17:E.

**Saggara:** Schneider et al. 1991:18.

**Hawara:** Petrie & Wainwright 1912:pl. XXXVI:117.

**Ibuna:** Petrie et al. 1923:pls. 55A:50m,n, 59m.

**El-Ashmunia:** Spencer & Bailey 1986:14, fig. 22:f; Spencer 1993:46, pl. 67:type F1.

**El Amarna:** French 1986:167, fig. 9:20:1–3 (which is suggested as being of Palestinian origin).

**Deir el-Bahri:** Winlock 1928:26–28, fig. 34.


**Medinet Habu:** Holmes 1954:pl. 47:F1.

**Elephantine:** Several examples of this generic type, inscribed with Aramaic inscriptions were discovered at this site (Honroth et al. 1990–1910:25–27, abb. 6; see also Sack 1911:pp. 74). Recently an additional jar of this type [though apparently locally made] was reported from the renewed excavations at Elephantine (Kaiser et al. 1990:240–241, abb. 15:17).

**Meroe:** Shinnie & Bradley 1980:107,134, fig. 37:101,103.

**El Kurru:** Dunham 1950:84, fig. 28c:1158 (for a recent discussion of the jars from Sudan, see Heldorn 1994:124, figs. 3:k, 4a–f).

### E. Glyptics

To the best of my knowledge, glyptic finds of Southern Levantine origin dating to the Iron age have not been reported from well-controlled archaeological contexts in contemporaneous Egyptian sites. Nevertheless, three late Iron age Judean seals have been bought in Egypt, allegedly originating from Egyptian sites (Avigad 1997:67[no.51],112[no.203 – supposedly from Lower Egypt],155–156[no.364]). Needless to say, though one should relate to these finds with caution, the possibility does exist that some or all of them reached Egypt during the Iron age.

### III. DISCUSSION

To summarize the evidence presented so far, there is quite compelling testimony of finds of apparent Palestinian origin from sites throughout late Iron age (Saite) Egypt. This includes four classes of Palestinian pottery: decanters jugs, mortaria bowls, cooking pots and jars, all which can be dated to the late

---

12 Though Sagona (1982) included Egypt in his survey of Levantine storage jars found throughout the eastern Mediterranean, his survey was far from exhaustive. Thus, quite a few more items can be added to the late Iron age/Persian period examples collected by him.
Iron Age and the early Persian period. Some of these items are reminiscent of types found throughout Syro-Palestine, while some appear to be more typical of southern Palestine. To this as well can be added possible evidence for Judean seals.

The following suggestions can be raised to interpret this data.

1) Possibly the simplest interpretation would be that these items represent evidence for the trade connections during this period, both between Egypt and Palestine (see e.g. Lemaire 1987; Redford 1992: 435–444; Stager 1994; Trigger et al. 1982: 329–330; 339), but possibly with other areas as well (i.e. items reaching Egypt indirectly). Since a significant percentage of these vessels can be very likely explained in a trade-related context, this scenario appears quite logical. This is true to a large extent for the decanters and needless to say for the jars (see e.g. Raban 1980). Accordingly, the vessels made of local Egyptian fabrics would be local imitations of these imported types.

2) A second interpretation would be that part of these finds are evidence to the presence of persons of Southern Levantine origin in Egypt during the late Iron Age and early Persian period. Accordingly, these people would have brought with them Palestinian vessels to Egypt and/or would have made these types (from the local fabrics) while in Egypt.

Paraphrasing the biblical text, can this be seen as evidence of who set out to go down to Egypt (Isaiah 30:2) (i.e. migration), or perchance the wealth of Egypt, and merchandise of Ethiopia (Isaiah 45:14) (i.e. commerce)?

Reviewing the evidence presented above, I believe that on the whole, the first alternative should be preferred. Particularly in light of the fact that the majority of the ceramic types noted above do in fact appear to be trade-related. Despite this, one cannot strike out the second possibility – that in fact some of these finds may be evidence for the presence of people of Southern Levantine origin (possibly Judeans) in Saite Egypt. I believe this can be argued for the following reasons:

1) Though most of the vessels are in fact trade-oriented, it is apparent that a large part of the parallels for the pottery under discussion (in particular the decanters and the first type of storage jar), derive from sites southern Palestine, in particular from Judea.

2) To this one can add that the presence of Palestinian cooking pots (“kitchen ware”) among these finds would seem to be of significance. As noted often in the past, “kitchen ware” can be used as a good indicator for the presence of distinct ethnic groupings. The logic being that domestic “kitchen ware” is not an inter-ethnic trade item, and would only be used by the specific ethnic/cultural group, and in domestic settings (for general studies, see e.g. Shenann 1989; Petrie 1996; for a recent study relating to Iron age Palestine, see Bunimovitz & Yasur-Landau 1996). Thus, Palestinian cooking ware found at late Iron Age sites in Egypt would strengthen the claim for the physical presence of people of Palestinian origin at the respective sites.

Even if one claims that some of these cooking vessels were made of local Egyptian fabrics, one could argue that these are Palestinian style vessels produced in Egypt for the use (and tastes) of southern Levantine immigrants in Egypt. Though one could argue that such pottery could be imitated by Egyptians as well, this would not be likely regarding the utilitarian (cooking) wares.

In other words, the archaeological finds may, to a certain extent, corroborate the documentary evidence indicating the presence of people of Palestinian origin, possible Judeans, in late Iron age Egypt. Vessels that appear to be of distinct Palestinian origin appear not only in the eastern Nile Delta, but at sites in Middle Egypt as well (Saqqara, Illahun and Kafr Ammar; see Fig. 2). Pottery of a more general Syro-Palestinian origin appear throughout Egypt and the Sudan.

Note as well the slightly earlier biblical reference (Hosea 12:2, mid-8th cent. BCE) to the export of oil from the southern Levant to Egypt.

The decanter-type vessel served, among other functions, for the storage and transportation of various precious liquids. This can be seen in relation to wine (e.g. Hestrin 1973:53, no.105 [a decanter with the inscription lyzyhw yyn bhl]; Ussishkin 1978:83–84; Lemaire 1980:92–94 [two wine-related inscriptions on decanters from Lachish]); perfume (Davies-Mendels 1989:101); and olive oil (Gitin 1989:32,34,44, figs. 2,8,2,13:17).

Biblical quotes from the Revised Standard Version.

15 See though Singer-Avitz’s (1989:121) remarks on the possible existance of a limited amount of trade in cooking vessels as well.

16 Compare to the somewhat analogous situation in Early Bronze Age Canaan, e.g. Brandel 1992.
An interesting point is the comparison between the distribution of these finds and the documentary evidence. If one compares the sites at which the finds (besides the Phoenician/Palestinian commercial jars) were discovered, there appears to be a correlation with the location of the Judeans in Egypt as related in the biblical narrative. In Jeremiah (43:7–9;44:1) there is explicit reference to Judeans residing in Egypt, with specific mention of the following sites: Migdol (=T. el-Heir; Oren 1984), Tahpanhes (=Defenneh; Petrie & Fiebrau 1992), Nof (=Memphis; Redford 1992b), and the “Land of Pathros” (=southern Upper Egypt; Baker & Redford 1992). In addition, although not specifically stated in the historical sources, it is apparent that the Jewish garrison in Elephantine was already established during the late Iron age (pre-586 BCE).

It would thus seem there are corresponding elements between the material finds, the biblical narrative, and the additional historical evidence. An almost perfect match exists between the ceramic evidence and the biblical narrative regarding the eastern Nile delta sites (Migdol and Tahpanhes). Moving further south, Saqqara can be considered an integral part of Nof-Memphis, and Kafi Ammar and Illahun are not far away. As to southern (upper) Egypt though, neither material evidence, nor additional, extra-biblical sources have been reported, that can confirm a late Iron Age Judean presence in that region, as referred to in the Bible and hinted to in the Elephantine texts.

The dating of the above discussed pottery corresponds as well to this historical timeframe, i.e. from the late 7th cent. to the middle of the 6th cent. BCE.

It would thus seem very possible that the evidence presented above is testimony of the Judean segment within the multi-national matrix at these respective sites (see e.g. Valbelle 1990:240). Just as the Aegean components at these sites have been identified on the basis of their specific material cul-

---

18 Although it is clear that the Jewish garrison was founded before the conquest of Egypt by Cambyses in 525 BCE (on the basis of papyrus Cowley no. 30 [Cowley 1925:112–116]), the actual date is debated. On this, see e.g. Grelot 1972; James 1991:735; Kraeling 1953; Mitchell 1991b; Porten 1968:7–16; Bresciani and Porten 1984; Oren 1984:30–38; Mirkuszewski 1995:25–26. See though Mclaurin’s (1968) opinion for a much earlier date for the founding of the Judean colony. To this one can add the opinions for an Israelite (and not Judean, and thus earlier) origin of the Jewish community in Elephantine. See e.g., von Hoonacker 1914:73–84; Vincent 1953:360,566; van der Toorn 1992.

19 It can be added that a substantial late Iron age Judean presence in the eastern Nile Delta may be mirrored in the Hexateuchal biblical narrative of the sojourn in Egypt which some scholars believe reflects, if at all, a late Iron age historical setting. See e.g. Redford 1992a:498–419.

20 It should be stressed that to date, no material evidence confirming the presence of Judeans/Israelites in pre-Persian period Elephantine has been reported. In relation to this though one should note Goyon’s (1985) recent suggestion to date the Aramaic abecedary from Wadi Hammamat (DuPont-Sommer 1947; Goyon 1959:116–117, no.107) to ca. 566 BCE, based on a nearby inscription mentioning the coregents Apries and Amasis of the 26th Dyn. Based on this dating, he suggests that both the abecedary and the royal names were written by a Judean residing in Egypt (possibly one of the Judean Refugees from late Iron age Judea) while on the road to Elephantine. Although this is an interesting suggestion, it should be treated with caution for several reasons: 1) Goyon’s dating (i.e. to the Saite period, and not to the 5th cent. BCE as originally suggested by Dupont-Sommer) is based on the proximity to the royal names, which might just be fortuitous (not to mention that the proposed coregency of Apries and Amasis is not accepted by all, see e.g. Simpson 1959; James 1991:736; Trigger et al. 1983:281, table 4.1); 2) Paleographically, the script seems to incorporate later, rather than earlier tendencies, and there seems no reason for an early dating (See Naveh 1972:42 who adheres to Dupont-Sommer’s original 5th cent. BCE dating, and ibid:15–43 for discussion of the earlier and later comparative material); 3) Even if the inscription is to be dated to the 6th cent. BCE, it is not clear why the inscription should be Judean, since the inscription is Aramaic, clearly written in the Aramaic script, and there is abundant non-Judean, Aramaic epigraphic material from contemporary Egypt; 4) If in fact the abecedary was written very soon after the end of the Palestinian Iron age by a Judean, one would expect Judean influence in the script. One can note though, that the exact transition between the use by former Judeans, of the Hebrew, Iron age script, and the subsequently adopted Aramaic script, for the short period between the end of the Iron age (first half of the 6th cent. BCE) and the second half of the the 6th and beginning of the 5th cent. BCE (as represented by the earlier Elephantine texts), is not clear due to the lack of adequate comparative material (see an example of a Hebrew word [naspert] written in Aramaic script in Cowley 1959:137, no. 521.5). Neither a clear-cut change or a gradual transition and influence has been shown. For comparison and general comments, Naveh 1972; 1982:82–100.
ture, it is logical to assume that Palestinian/Judean elements can be distinguished as well. Though much less common and influential, it nevertheless is documented in the historical sources and appears to be evidenced in the material record. This presence would probably have been comprised of mercenaries, serving in the Egyptian army, or of civilian fugitives, who arrived in Egypt during various stages of the late (and especially terminal) Iron Age.21

IV. SUMMARY
In summary, I believe that the following points can be noted:
1) Throughout Egypt (and even in Sudan) there are objects of Levantine origin in late Iron age contexts.
2) On the whole, one has the impression that these objects are evidence of the commercial relations between the two region.
3) Nevertheless, some of these objects may hint to the physical presence of people of Palestinian origin in Egypt during this period, possibly Judeans.

In closing, I believe it is necessary to stress that a degree of reservation should be exercised in light of the relatively small sample of finds with which we are dealing. One might expect that the evidence for both commercial relations as well as the Judean presence would have been more extensive. One can wonder whether perchance this can be partially explained as being due to a lack of attentiveness to this point on the part of scholars who have studied the pottery from late Iron age sites in Egypt (as, e.g., Weinstein [1981:21–22] noted regarding NK pottery in Palestine).

Bibliography

AHARONI, Y.
1975 *Investigations at Lachish: The Sanctuary and the Residency (Lachish V),* Tel Aviv

AHARONI, M. and AHARONI, Y.
1976 *The stratification of Judahite sites in the 8th and 7th centuries BCE, BASOR 224, 73–90*

ALBRIGHT, W.F.
1932 *The Excavations at T. Beit Mirsim I, AASOR 12, New Haven*

ASTON, D.
1996 *Egyptian pottery of the late New Kingdom and 3rd Intermediate Period (12th–7th cent. BC): tentative footsteps in a forbidding terrain, SAGA 13, Heidelberg*

AUSTIN, M. M.

AVIGAD, N.
1997 *Corpus of West Semitic stamp seals (revised by B. Sass), Jerusalem*

BAKER, D.W. and REDFORD, D.B.
1992 *“Pathros”, Anchor Bible Dictionary 5, New York, 178*

BENNETT, W. J. and BLAKELY, J. A.
1989 *Tell el-Hesi: The Persian Period (Stratum V),* Winona Lake

BIELEK, M.
1992 *An Iron age four-room house in Rameside Egypt, Eretz Israel* 23, 10–12

BLISS, F. J. and MACALISTER, R. A. S.
1902 *Excavations in Palestine During the Years 1898–1900, London*

BOARDMAN, J.
1980 *The Greek overseas: their early colonies and trade, London*

BOURRIAU, J.
1981 *Umm El-Gacab: Pottery from the Nile Valley Before the Arab Conquest, Cambridge*

BRANDL, B.

BRANN, E.G.
1962 *The Athenian Agora, Volume VIII: late Geometric and Protoattic pottery, mid 8th to late 7th century B.C., Princeton*

---

21 For a discussion of the contemporary Cypriote presence in Egypt, which may serve as a complimentary study to our discussion, see de Salvia 1989.
BRESCIANI, E. and PORTEN, B.

BRIGHT, J.
1981 A History of Israel, London

BRISSAUD, P., CARPANO, V., COTELLE, L., MARCHAND, S., NOUAUILLÉ, L. and VEILLARD, C.
1987 Répertoire préliminaire de la poterie trouvée à Sân el-Hagar (2e partie), in: P. BRISSAUD, Cahiers de Tanis I, Paris, 75–99

BUNIMOVITZ, S. and YASUR-LANDAU, A.
1996 Philistine and Israelite pottery: a comparative approach to the question of pots and people, TA 23, 88–101

CARREZ-MARATRAY, J.-Y. and DEFERNEZ, C.
1996 Premières données sur l’occupation ancienne du site de Péluse (la stratigraphie de Farama Ouest), CRIPEL 18, 33–49

CARROL, R. P.

COWLEY, A.
1923 Aramaic Papyri of the Fifth Century B.C., Oxford

CROSS, F.M.

CULICAN, W.
1973 The graves at Tell er-Reqeish, AJBA 2, 66–105

DAYAGI-MENDELS, M.
1989 Perfume and Cosmetics in Antiquity, Jerusalem

DE SALVIA, F.

DOVHAN, M. and PORATH, Y.
1982 Ashdod IV, excavations of area M: the fortifications of the lower city, Atiqot 15, Jerusalem

DUNCAN, J. G.
1950 Corpus of Dated Palestinian Pottery, London

DUNHAM, D.
1950 El Kurru (The Royal Cemeteries of Kush), Cambridge, MA.

DUPONT-SOMMER, A.
1947 Une Inscription Araméenne Inédite de l'Ouadi Hammamat, RA 41, 105–110

FONTAINE, A. L.
1947 Daphnae, Bulletin de la Société d’Études Historiques et Géographiques de l’Isthme de Suez 1, 41–57

FREEDY, K. S. and RENFORD, D. B.
1970 The dates in Ezekiel in relation to biblical, Babylonian and Egyptian sources, JAROS 90, 462–485

FRENCH, P.
1986 Late Dynastic Pottery from the vicinity of the South Tombs, in: B.J. KEMP, Anarnna Reports III, London, 147–188

1988 Late Dynastic pottery from the Berlin/Hannover excavations at Saqqara, 1986, MDAIK 44, 79–89.

1992 A preliminary study of pottery of Lower Egypt in the Late Dynastic and Ptolemaic Periods, CCE 3, 83–101

FRENCH, P. and GHALY, H.
1991 Pottery chiefly of the late Dynastic period, from excavations by the Egyptian Antiquities Organisation at Saqqara, 1987, CCE 2, 93–124

GITIN, S.
1989 Tel Miqne-Ekron: A Type-Site for the Inner Coastal Plain in the Iron Age II Period, AASOR 49, 23–58

1990 Gezer III, Jerusalem


GÖRG, M.
1991 ‘Aegyptico-Biblica: Notizen und Beiträge zu den Beziehungen zwischen Ägypten und Israel, ÅT 11, Wiesbaden

1992 ‘Mas ‘Seele” im biblischen und nichtbiblischen Hebräisch, BN 63, 19–25

GOYON, G.
1959 Nouvelles Inscriptions Rupestres du Wadi Hammamat, Paris

1985 L’abecédaire Araméen Découvert dans le Desert Arabique Egyptien (Wadi Hammamat), in: S. ISRAELIT-GROLL (ed.), Pharaonic Egypt, the Bible and Christianity, Jerusalem. 63–70

GRATIEN, B.

GRATIEN, B. and SOULIÉ, D.

GREGORIAN, P.
1972 Documents Araméens d’Égypte, Paris

HASSAN, S.
1941 Excavations at Giza, 1931–1932, III, Cairo

HAYES, J. H. and MILLER, J. M. (eds.)
1977 Israelite and Judean History, London

HEIDORN, L. A.
1994 Historical implications of the pottery from the earliest tombs at El Kurru, JARCE 31, 115–151

HERZOG, Z., AHARONI, M., RAINYE, A. F. and MOSSKOVITZ, S.
1984 The Israelite Fortress at Arad, BASOR 254, 1–34

HESTRIN, R.
1973 Inscriptions Revel, Jerusalem

HOLLADAY, J. S., Jr.

1995 Jeremiah 7: A Commentary on the Book of the Prophet Jeremiah Chapters 26–52, Minneapolis
The Relations between Egypt and the Southern Levant during the Late Iron Age

HÖLSCHER, U.
1954 The excavations of Medinet Habu V: post-Ramessid remains, OIP 46, Chicago

HONROTH, W., RUBENSOHN, O. and ZUCKER, F.
1909–1910 Bericht über die Ausgrabungen auf Elephantine in den Jahren 1906–1908, ZArch 46, 14–61

HUMMEL, R. and SHUBERT, S. B.

JAMES, T. G. H.

JONES, R. N. and F IEMA, T.

JONES, S.
1997 The archaeology of ethnicity: constructing identities in the past and present, New York

1990 Stadt und Temple von Elephantine. 17./18. Grabungsbericht, MDAIK 46, 185–249

KELM, G. and MAZAR, B.

KITCHEN, K. A.
1986 The Third Intermediate Period in Egypt (2nd ed.), Warminster

LEHMANN, G.
1996 Untersuchungen zur späten Eisenzeit in Syrien und Libanon: stratigraphie und Keramikformen zwischen ca. 720 bis 300 v. Chr. (Altertumskunde des Vorderen Orients), Münster

LEMARÉ, A.
1980 A Note on Inscription XXX from Lachish, TA 7, 92–94

LEMAIRE, A.
1985–1987 Preliminary analysis of some elements of the Saite and Persian period pottery at Tell el-Maskhuta, BES 8, 95–107

PETRIE, W. M. F.
1906 Hyksos and Israelite Cities, London

PORTEN, B.
1968 Archives from Elephantine, Berkeley

PETRIE, W. M. F.
1986–1987 Preliminary analysis of some elements of the Saite and Persian period pottery at Tell el-Maskhuta, BES 8, 95–107

LEMAIRE, A.
1980 A Note on Inscription XXX from Lachish, TA 7, 92–94

LEMAIRE, A.
1985–1987 Preliminary analysis of some elements of the Saite and Persian period pottery at Tell el-Maskhuta, BES 8, 95–107

LEMAIRE, A.
1991 The identity of King Adon, BA 44, 36–52

MALAMAT, A. B.
1983 Israel in Biblical Times: historical essays, Jerusalem (Hebrew)

MAYOR, B., DOUTHAN, T. and DUNAWRENSKY, I.

MAYOR, B. and MASON, B.
1989 Excavations in the South of the Temple Mount: The Ophel of Biblical Jerusalem, Qedem 29, Jerusalem

MITCHELL, T. C.

MITCHELL, T. C.

MODRZEJEWSKI, J. M.
1995 The Jews of Egypt: from Ramases II to Emperor Hadrian, Philadelphia

MYSLICKI, K.
1987 Keramik und Kleinfunde aus der Grabung im Tempel Sethos‘ I. in Gurna, Mainz am Rhein

NASH, N.
1991 The Kingdom of Judah under Josiah, TA 18, 3–71

NAYEL, J.
1962 The Excavations at Mesad Hashayahu: Preliminary report, IEJ 12, 89–113

1970 The Development of the Aramaic Script, Jerusalem.

1982 The Early History of the Alphabet: An Introduction to West Semitic Epigraphy and Paleography, Jerusalem

OREN, E. D.
1984 Migdol: a new fortress on the edge of the eastern Nile Delta, BASOR 256, 7–44

PAICE, P. A.
1986 The relations between Egypt and the Southern Levant during the Late Iron Age, 1984

PETRIE, W. M. F.
1906 Hyksos and Israelite Cities, London

1909 Qurnah, London

1934 Na’aknatis, London

PETRIE, W. M. F., BRUNET, G. and MURRAY, M. A.
1923 Lahun II, London

PETRIE, W. M. F., GRIFFITH, F. F. L. and NEWBERRY, P. E.
1890 Kahun, Gurob, and Hawara, London

PETRIE, W. M. F. and MACKAY, E.
1915 Helioptolis, Kafr Ammar and Shurafa, 1912, London

PETRIE, W. M. F., MURRAY, A. S. and GRIFFITH, F. F. L.
1888 Tanis Part II, Nebesheh (Am) and Defennah (Tahpanhes), London

PETRIE, W. M. F. and WAINWRIGHT, G. A.
1912 The Labyrinth Gerzeh and Mazghuneh, London
PORTER, B. and MOSS, R. L. B.  
1951 Topographical Bibliography of Ancient Egyptian Hiero-
glyphic Texts, Reliefs, and Painting, VII. Nubia, The 
Deserts, and Outside of Egypt, Oxford  

RABAN, A.  
1980 The portable jar in the ancient near east: typology, dis-
tribution and provenance, PhD dissertation, Hebrew 
University, in Hebrew, Jerusalem  

REDFORD, D. B.  
1985 The relations between Egypt and Israel from El-
Amarna to the Babylonian conquest, in: J. AMITAI 
ed., Biblical Archaeology Today: Proceedings of the 
International Congress of Biblical Archaeology, 
Jerusalem. 192–205  
1992a Egypt, Canaan and Israel in Ancient Times, Princ-
ton  
689–691  

REICH, R.  
1989 A Third Season of Excavations at Me-
ß 
as hayahu, Eretz Israel 20, 228–232, 203 (in 
Hebrew with English summary)  

ROWE, A.  
1936 A Catalogue of the Egyptian Scarabs, Scaraboids, Seals 
and Amulets in the Palestine Archaeological Museum, 
Cairo  

SACHAU, E.  
1911 Aramische Papyrus und Ostraka aus einer Judischen 
Militär-Kolonie zu Elephantine, Leipzig  

SAGONA, A. G.  
1982 Levantine Storage Jars of the 13th to 4th Century 
B.C., OpAth 14, 73–110  

SCHNEIDER, H. D., MARTIN, G. T., ASTON, B. G., VAN DIJK, J., 
PERZONIUS, R. and STROCHAL, E.  
1991 The Tomb of Maya and Meryt: preliminary 
report on the Saqqara excavations, 1990–1. JEA 
77, 7–21  

SHENNAN, S. J. (ed.)  
1989 Archaeological Approaches to Cultural Identity, One 
World Archaeology 10, London  

SHEFFIELD, P. L. and BRADLEY, R. J.  
1980 The Capital of Kush I, Meroitica 4, Berlin  

SIMPSON, W. K.  
1959 Historical and Lexical Notes on the New Series of 
Hammamat Inscriptions, JNES 18, 20–37  

SINGER-AVITZ, L.  
1989 Local pottery of the Persian period (strata 
XI–VI), in: Z. HERZOG, G. RAPP, Jr. and O. NERI 
ed.s.), Excavations at Tel Michal, Israel, Minneapo-
lis, 115–144  

SMITH, M.  
1991 Did Psammethichus I die abroad?, Orientalia 
Louannensia Periodica 22, 101–109  

SPARKES, B. and TALCOTT, L.  
1970 The Athenian Agora, Vol. XII: black and plain pottery 
of the 6th, 5th and 4th centuries B.C., Princeton  

SPENCER, A. J.  

SPENCER, A. J. and BAILEY, D. M.  
1986 British Museum Expedition to Middle Egypt: Ash-
sumein (1985), London  

STAGER, L. E.  
1996 Ashkelon and the archaeology of destruction: 
Kislev 604 BCE, Eretz Israel 25, 61*-74*  

STERN, E.  
1982 Material Culture of the Land of the Bible in the Persian 
Period 586–322 B.C., Warminster  

TRIGGER, B. G., KEMP, B. J., O’CONNOR, D. and LLOYD, A. B. 
1983 Ancient Egypt: A Social History, Cambridge  

TUFNELL, O.  
1953 Lachish III: The Iron Age, London  

TUR-SINAI, N. H. and AHIYU, S.  
1987 The Lachish Ostraca: Letters from the Time of Jeremi-
ah2, Jerusalem (Hebrew)  

USSHERS, D.  
1978 Excavations at Tel Lachish 1973–1977: Prelimi-
ary Report, TA 5, 1–97  

VAILLE, D.  
1990 Les neuf arcs: l’Egyptien et les étrangers de la préhistoire 
a la conquête d’Alexandre, Paris  

VAN DER TOORN, K.  
1992 Anat-Yahu, some other deities, and the Jews of 
Elephantine, Numen 39, 80–101  

VINCENT, A.  
1937 La religion des judéo-araméens de Éléphantine, Paris  

VON HOONACKER, A.  
1915 Une communauté judéo-aramène à Éléphantine en 
Égypte, Schweich Lectures 1914, London  

WALDRAUM, J.C.  
1994 Early Greek contacts with the southern Levant, ca. 
1000–600 B.C.: the eastern perspective, BASOR 293, 53–66  

WEINSTEIN, J. M.  
1981 The Egyptian empire in Palestine: a reassess-
ment, BASOR 241, 1–28  

WILSON, K. L.  
the 1979 and 1980 Seasons, Malibu.  

WINSLO, H. E.  

ZHINDONI, O.  
1990 Two ceramic assemblages from Lachish levels III 
and II, TA 17, 3–52