Freshwater biodiversity has shown to be highly vulnerable to climate warming. Boreo-alpine species are especially at risk in the Alps because they have to migrate to higher elevations to avoid local extinction. Effects of climate warming could be partly counteracted by creating new freshwater habitats: this would boost the population sizes of the endangered species and would increase the connectivity between Alpine waterbodies to facilitate the species’ upward dispersal and colonization of new habitats. Nevertheless, the localization of new habitats has to be carefully chosen, as successful restoration (or habitat creation) depends on many abiotic and ecological variables (e.g. habitats density and quality, connection between bodies of water, presence of targeted species, resistance of landscape to species movements). Furthermore, various socioeconomic drivers and actors determine the land and water uses in the Alps. Therefore we developed an innovative method for prioritization of areas for creation (or restoration) of small bodies of water, taking into account both ecological parameters (from species to landscape) and social ones. The method was developed in a test area (130 km², in Canton Valais, Switzerland) representative of the Swiss Alpine landscapes and their associated socioeconomic activities.

The proposed methodological framework consists of four steps which allow refining the geographical area to propose two or three small areas (some hectares) suitable for the creation of small water bodies. The first step investigates the regional scale, often several thousand km², with a focus on environmental considerations: presence of historical or contemporary wetlands and waterbodies, presence of cold stenotherm species, and favourable landscape (in terms of land use) and socioeconomic context. The second step identifies areas of biological connectivity between source ponds and future host ponds for cold stenotherm species, taking into account the distance between source and host ponds and landscape resistance to the movements of propagules, finally highlighting the migration corridors. The third step checks the preselected areas with the aim of discarding catchments impaired by selective or diffuse pollutions. The fourth and final step is intended to enhance the probability of the project’s social acceptance: qualitative interviews and field observations are conducted on a local scale (often one km²) and options are evaluated with local stakeholders.

Conducting the four successive and complementary steps ensures that the selected areas have a high potential for hosting the alpine biodiversity, and furthermore provide a favourable social framework for success in the short as well as the long term. Such a tool should promote and facilitate actions for conserving freshwater biodiversity in the Alps.
conserv e viable habitats but at the same time id en tify suitable stepping stone habitats for future colo
nization. This means also designing and creating new suitable habitats. Such emerging novel ecosystems en sure main tainance of ecological goods and services (Jackson & Hobbs 2009), and are part of strategies for biodi versity conservation. However, restor ing or cre
ating new habitats is a costly and time-intensive pro cess, often conflicting with other land uses. Therefore, prior itization of where en danger ed habitats may be viably restored or created can help to ensure the cost
effectiveness of desired outcomes (Hyman & Lei
bowitz 2000; Boller et al. 2009; Wilson et al. 2011). Maintaining ecosystem and landscape functioning, particularly functional con nectionality, is important as the species will have to dis perse for colonizing newly provided habitats and will have to move through the landscape to find the new habitats.

To foster the colonization of new habitats, man
agement measures aim either at increasing the current density of ponds (new habitats or stepping stones) at higher altitudes than those of the ponds acting as sources, or at improving the connectivity between source and target ponds by reducing the resistance of the landscape to colonizer movements.

Increasing pond density is a common management practice, as creating new ponds compensates for the severe loss of up to 50% of ponds in recent centu ries / decades (e.g. Oertli et al. 2005; Céréghino et al. 2008; Oertli et al. 2009; Boix et al. 2012). Wetland drainages also occurred in Alpine areas, leading to a decrease in pond densities.

Creating a new wetland is a management action that can be easily proposed, but its realization is a complex task. The multiple constraints can be quite varied in nature, from ecological (e.g. geology, topography, biological connectivity) to technical (pond construction) and socioeconomic (e.g. uses and social acceptance). Therefore one of the main challenges in creating new habitats is to find a suitable location which takes into account all constraints. Non-compliance with such a strategy would inevitably lead to failure.

We present a methodological framework for optim izing locations in the landscape to create new habi tats, which will enable conservation managers to trade off various ecological and socioeconomic interests acting on mountainous areas. The method proposed here was developed and applied on the basis of ecological and socioeconomic investigations conducted in 2011 and 2012 in a study area (130 km², in Canton Valais) representative of the landscapes of the Swiss Alps and their associated socioeconomic activities. The collected information included the distribution of boreo-alpine species, the landscape genetics of an umbrella species, a map of the biological connectivity of the ponds, an assessment of the water quality in the catchments and an investigation of social acceptance for restoration action.

A methodological framework to prioritize pond creation / restoration in mountain regions

A obvious preliminary step to the selection of fa
vourable areas is to identify the targeted species, the cold stenotherm species threatened by climate change (Figure 2). Altitude or latitude are often used as surro
gates of temperature (e.g. Cogbill & White 1991; Körn er 1998) and therefore the knowledge of altitudinal or latitudinal ranges of distribution of the species provides accurate assessments on the thermal requirements of the species and on their thermal sensitivity.

For example, in the species pools in Switzerland, Rosset & Oertli (2011) identified 41 cold stenotherm (cold thermal specialist) species from three groups, aquatic plants (21 species), dragonflies (7 species) and aquatic beetles (13 species). No cold stenotherm species were identified in the Swiss species pool of amphibians and of aquatic snails. The 41 cold stenotherm species are presented in Appendix 1. These cold stenotherm species are all boreo-alpine species, distributed in the Alps and the boreal biogeographical region. None of the species is endemic to the Alps.

Step 1. Considerations for habitat priori
tization on the regional scale

The first step investigates the regional scale, of ten several thousand km², representing for example a country, a canton or a protected area. Environmental considerations to conduct area prioritization include: presence of historical or contemporary ponds, presence of cold stenotherm species and favourable land
scape (in terms of land use).

The aim of step 1 is to localize one restricted area favourable to pond restoration actions. The size of the area(s) should be in relation to the potential dispersal of the targeted cold stenotherm species. We propose here about 100 km², as most dragonflies, beetles and plants species (three umbrella groups for ponds) cover dispersal ranges of at least 1 km distance (e.g. Corbet 1999; Rundle et al. 2002; Santamaría 2002).

Four types of environmental information are inte grated, all preferably available in a GIS:

1.1 Localization of historical wetlands and ponds. Many waterbodies were drained or filled during the two last centuries. Historical maps provide information where wetlands were present in the past. Such areas are particularly suitable for crea
tion (or restoration) of ponds, for ecological and social reasons: favourable substrate and geomorphologic conditions, potential seeds banks, a rela
tively high potential of social acceptance.

1.2 Localization of existing ponds. The existing ponds are the potential source of biodiversity (lowest alti
tudes) or / and the targets for species colonization (higher altitudes). Making use of pond data bases, e.g., regional inventories, aerial photographs.
1.3 Localization of the ponds hosting cold stenotherm species today. These alpine ponds are the source of colonizers. Presence of nearby source populations is a favourable factor for guaranteeing successful colonization of restored freshwater systems (e.g. Briers 2002; Brederveld et al. 2011). The aim is to identify a regional area potentially favourable (where cold stenotherm species are present), not to obtain exhaustive species inventories for all ponds. The information can be collected by investigating biological record centres (in Switzerland, for instance, the CSCF or InfoFlora) or asking regional experts for the target taxonomic groups. Most data are usually available for Odonata and aquatic plants, which may act as surrogates (or umbrellas) for other groups. A favourable area will be an area characterized by multiple sources of colonization, indeed by the presence of the species in as many waterbodies as possible.

Screening the starting area through steps 1.1 to 1.4 eventually produces a restricted geographical area (several tens of km²).

Application of step 1 to the example investigated in the Swiss Alps

The starting study area is the whole Canton Valais (Switzerland), where the administrative authorities in charge of nature conservation supported the project and aimed at the application of the produced deliverables. This canton is relatively large (5224 km²), with a wide altitudinal gradient (from 372 to 4634 m), and is mainly mountainous (the Alps).
Research (2009) within the framework of the project Important Areas for Ponds of the European Pond Conservation Network (Ewald et al. 2010a, 2010b). The cartography of pond density (Figure 3) highlights the potential regions favourable in the Canton Valais (indicated on the figure as coloured areas).

Presence of boreo-alpine species. Swiss databases are particularly rich in information on dragonfly distribution. Therefore the maps of the distribution of the boreo-alpine species (Figure 4) constitute an excellent tool. Two species are particularly well distributed in the region and are therefore selected as umbrella species for the further steps: *Aeshna juncea* and *Somatochlora alpestris*. Furthermore, the knowledge of the altitudinal distribution of *A. juncea* (Figure 5) indicates the lower limits of the regional area to select, i.e. 1 500 m.

The intersection of the distribution maps of the two species (i.e. Figure 4 restricted at altitude > 1 500 m) with the map of pond density (Figure 3) produces a set of squares representing regional areas favourable for the continuation of the selection procedure (Figure 6). The contiguous squares form areas, the four largest with a size each of about 150 km². All these four regional areas are adequate for continuation to step 2. For logistic reasons (e.g. accessibility for sampling), the eastern ones of the four regional areas, i.e. the area Région des 4 Vallées (e.g. Figure 7) was selected for the next steps.

Historical wetland

This analysis has been made a posteriori of the analyses of the three other criteria selected for step 1 and has therefore been conducted only on the regional area issued of the screening with these three criteria. This analysis made it clear that the available historical data were not accurate and did not provide any useful information. We noticed that this criterion was taken into consideration at too late a stage and this is obviously an inaccuracy. The socioeconomic enquiries conducted throughout the study underline that this criterion is of crucial importance as it determines heritage values that can significantly enhance social acceptance for a pond restoration project. Therefore such historical data should be collected early in the screening process, conducted a priori (and not a posteriori) to the screening through the three other criteria.

Area selected through step 1

Step 1 produced a regional area, locally called Région des 4 Vallées. It covers 130 km² of the Swiss Alps in the Canton Valais (Figure 7), and is typical of the landscapes found elsewhere in the Swiss Alps. The altitudinal range considered falls between 1 500 and 3 300 m. A total of 96 ponds (ranging in size from 100 to 20 000 m²) has been identified in this region, representing a pond density of 0.7 ponds/km². This is a density close to the mean pond density in Switzerland (0.8 / km², Oertli & Frossard 2013). Three catchment areas divide the study area, drained by the streams.
la Printse, la Fare and la Dixence. The landscape is mainly covered by forest stands, hay meadows, pastures below 2000 m and by alpine meadows, rhododendrons moors, snow beds and scree above 2000 m. Nine municipalities share the area (Nendaz, Isérables, Riddes, Bagne, Hérémence, Vex, Les Agettes, Salins and Veysonnaz). The socioeconomy of the region is dominated by activities typical of these mountain regions, namely hydropower generation, winter tourism (e.g. skiing) or summer tourism (hiking, ATVs), grazing and forestry, drinking water production.

**Step 2. Identifying potential migration corridors**

Starting with the area selected in step 1, this step 2 identifies a map of connectivity between source ponds and potential future host ponds, taking into account the distance between source and host ponds and landscape resistance to the movements of propagules. To produce this map, the following information has to be collected and analysed.

2.1. Localization of: (i) the source ponds hosting the cold stenotherm species today, and (ii) the host ponds situated at a higher altitude and supposed to host these species in the future. This is achieved through a field inventory of the presence or absence of the targeted cold stenotherm species in each pond.

2.2. Characterizations of the migration of the targeted cold stenotherm species. It is crucial to know the distance of potential dispersal and the resistance of the landscape elements to the movements of the propagules coming from the source ponds. The information is gathered through literature review and ideally complemented by field experimentation (e.g. mark-recapture, landscape genetics, least-cost path analyses...).

2.3. Based on 2.1 and 2.2, a connectivity map is developed, highlighting likely functional corridors between source and targeted ponds. These corridors are the ecologically favourable areas for hosting habitats (= selected areas with step 2) that could easily (and quickly) be colonized by propagules/individuals from the source ponds and therefore are favourable for acting as habitats and stepping stones toward the targeted ponds.

**Application of step 2 to the example investigated in the Swiss Alps**

To produce the map of biological connectivity in the regional area (Région des 4 Vallées) selected by step 1, the following information was collected.

2.1. The localization of source ponds and of the targeted ponds was achieved through a field inventory of the presence or absence of the species in each pond. Floristic and faunistic inventories were realized in 39 ponds of the regional area during summer 2011 and 2012, with an altitudinal range from 1550 to 2780 m. A total of 16 boreo-alpine species were observed (Table 1), the most frequent being the aquatic plant *Carex nigra* (74% of the ponds) and the dragonfly *A. juncea* (36% of the ponds). The boreo-alpine species are well represented in the study area between 1500 and 2500 m (Figure 8), even if their distribution is uneven due to the patchy distribution of the ponds. Notice that the inventory also allowed detecting new ponds not identified in step 1. The selected area includes about ten different types of protected areas, of various federal, cantonal or communal protection status (Figure 8). Most of these areas are potentially favourable to the creation of new waterbodies, but some have been evaluated.
Figure 6 – Map highlighting the intersection (grey colour) of (i) map of pond densities (i.e. Figure 2; only altitude > 1500 m), with (ii) map of presence of Aeshna juncea and Somatochlora alpestris (i.e. Figure 3). The four areas presenting the highest number of contiguous squares are evidenced here by red ellipses. See Figure 3 for region localization.

Figure 7 – Location of the investigated area (Région des 4 Vallées) in the Alps from the Canton Valais (Switzerland). Map reproduced by permission of swisstopo (BA13130).

Bibliographic review
The bibliographic review on dispersal distances for inhabitants of ponds rendered convergent values, from about one kilometre for weak dispersers (e.g. caddisflies, stoneflies, aquatic plants, damselfly; Briers et al. 2004; Winterbourn et al. 2007; Brederveld et al. 2011; Didham et al. 2012; Keller et al. 2012) to several kilometres for powerful dispersers (e.g. dragonflies; Corbet 1999).

Landscape genetics
Landscape-genetic analyses were conducted with C. nigra with the aim of linking the gene flow to landscape structures and for detecting functional corridors. Genetic profiling of 253 C. nigra individuals from 23 ponds was carried out in 2011 using single sequences repeats ISSR profiling. Details of analytical procedures and of results are presented in Crovadore et al. (in prep.). STRUCTURE was applied (Pritchard et al. 2000) to assess broad-scale genetic patterns. It turns out that six classes fulfil the statistical criteria required. Nevertheless these detected patterns do not become effective in a spatial context (Figure 9). Furthermore, no relationship could be identified between straight-line geographic distance and genetic differentiation. Thus the genetic pattern is independent of the distances between the ponds.

The results underline that no landscape patterns can be proposed on the bases of genetic analyses of C. nigra populations. This suggests that the populations are not clearly separated and that the dispersal is maybe efficient between the ponds of the studied area.

Distances of migration
The results of step 2.2 (literature review and field investigation with C. nigra) indicate that plants and animals disperse relatively well on the scale of the studied area (130 km²), with most taxa dispersing easily over distances of several hundred metres.

ad 2.3. The results of step 2.1 (localization of sources and targeted ponds) and 2.2 (dispersal distance of the species) were then coupled with information on resistance of the landscape to dispersal. Two umbrella groups were considered, i.e. dragonflies and aquatic beetles. Information on the degree of resistance of the various landscape elements to the flight of these insects was provided by experts. A connectivity map was then produced for each taxonomic group (Figure 10A and 10B), highlighting the areas of connectivity between source and targeted ponds which represent the migration corridors. These corridors are the potential ecologically favourable areas for pond creation or restoration. The intersection of the two map provided the final areas retained with this step 2 (Figure 10C).
Step 3. Water quality control in the catchments

A restoration project aims at producing good quality habitats. Therefore, the local areas preselected in step 2 have to be checked for their potential impairment. The objectives of this step 3 will be:
- the identification of potential diffuse (e.g. land-use) or localized (e.g. wastewater discharge) sources of pollution as nutrients or agro-toxics;
- the analyses of the water quality of the targeted ponds by altitude, with focus on heavy metals, as high-altitude bodies of water from other parts of the Alps have been shown to be highly polluted (e.g. through nickel; Thies et al. 2007; Camarero et al. 2009).

This step will therefore potentially remove some of the areas selected in step 2, if evidence of pollution or potential pollution are found.

Application of step 3 to the example investigated in the Swiss Alps

Sources of diffuse or selected pollution. Investigations of the land uses and of human activities in the catchments of the selected areas in step 2 (cf. Figure 10) returned no sources of pollution. The land use is mainly extensive agriculture (cattle grazing), forestry and tourism, with few fertilizer applications. Buildings (barns, farms) have controlled effluents, none threatening the selected areas.

Water analyses of targeted ponds

Analyses were conducted in July and August 2011 on the three main catchments. A total of 12 water samples were taken from 3 ponds situated at altitudes of 2440 m, 2545 m and 2780 m respectively. Analyses (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectroscopy) were conducted for nine metals: As, Cd, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, Se, Ti and Zn. All concentrations were below the limit of detectability of the measuring devices, a sign of very good water quality with regard to heavy metals.

In conclusion, all areas selected in step 2 are potentially without threat of pollution and can therefore be retained for step 4.

Step 4. Considering socioeconomic constraints for habitat prioritization

The final step (4) concentrates on the project’s social acceptance. Social considerations are already to be taken into consideration as preliminaries of steps 1 to 3, with several actions to be undertaken. For example, information about the research, its objectives, partners and methods is communicated to the municipalities of the areas where ponds are sampled and corridors identified (steps 2.1 to 2.3). Appropriate information support can also be distributed to other regional stakeholders.

Social considerations are particularly relevant and requisite at the final steps of selections. Step 4 aims at screening the areas selected in step 3 with a social filter to arrive at one final area. Qualitative interviews and field observations are conducted on a fine scale (often just 1 km²). Perceptions about existing conflicts and values are analysed and preferences for future options evaluated with local stakeholders, owners, users, managers and decision makers. Multiple use strategies are co-constructed in order to enhance the acceptance and with it the feasibility and the sustainability of the pond creation or restoration project. Indeed, the sustainability of a restoration project depends on whether local people perceive it as providing them with some direct benefit (Aronson et al. 2010). Also local actors can see the project as a way to innovate and create new options for the development of the territory by mobilizing collective social capacities (Finger-Stich 2009).

The finally selected area is the one that offers the best social acceptance of a pond restoration project.

The precise localization of the restoration project in this selected area is eventually achieved by assessing the technical criteria necessary for the restoration or
construction of a permanent pond, linked for example to topography, geology and hydrology.

**Application of step 4 to the example investigated in the Swiss Alps**

The entire study region (Canton of Valais) is considered as socioeconomically favourable, the local conservation authorities are looking for sites for undertaking restoration actions, such as creating ponds or wetlands. These actions are mitigation measures for developments of touristic or industrial activities.

Qualitative semi-structured interviews were conducted on a local scale, in 2012, on the areas selected in step 3, meeting first with representatives from seven municipalities of the region in order to evaluate their potential acceptance of the restoration or creation of new ponds in their municipality. Acceptance was evaluated on the basis of responses obtained on questions assessing the:

- institutional support at municipal level,
- availability of economic resources,
- demand for multiple water uses,
- local heritage values related to water.

The results are synthesized in a simple matrix (Table 2) and the degree of acceptance of the different municipalities mapped on the final selection map of the project.

The most favourable area issued from this socio-economic step is shown in Figure 11, however the formal approval for a project to be realized in this municipality rests on its local decision-making process. It is also the area finally selected through the screening of the four steps of this selection methodology. With the agreement of local authorities, researchers then met with land owners and land users of the remaining selected areas in order to define collaboratively conditions in which the creation or restoration of a pond is most suitable to the site and in agreement with their land uses and their preferences.

**Application to the example investigated in the Swiss Alps: description of selected areas**

Three areas of about one hectare each were finally selected by applying the four selection steps of the proposed methodology (Figure 11). They are situated at altitudes of 2198, 2130 and 2147 m respectively. All these areas are located in alpine meadows which are used extensively for grazing. Several hiking paths and ski runs cross these areas. These corridors connect source ponds number 19, 20, 33, 34, and 35 (altitude 1570 to 2171 m), which host boreo-alpine species (Coleoptera: Stictotarsus griseostriatus; Odonata: A. juncea, Leucorrhinia dubia, S.alpestris), with the targeted ponds 21, 22 and 23, as well as with several non-investigated ponds located at altitudes between 2475 and 2750 m.

**Discussion**

The application of this method allows evaluating the importance of existing ponds for hosting threatened biodiversity and indicates the best localizations for restoration and creation of new ponds, taking into account the varying local socioeconomic uses of Alpine aquatic ecosystems.

The development of the method, based on a local case study in the Canton Valais (Switzerland), highlighted some key points to consider in such approaches.

First, from an ecological point of view, the application of such a method of localizing favourable areas for restoration needs many prerequisites, which are not always available. This underlines the need for more research on topics providing basic information for applied conservation actions. For example, it is

**Table 2 – Matrix highlighting the degree of acceptance in the seven municipalities (A to F) for four social criteria. -1 least acceptance, 2 highest acceptance, empty fields indicate absence of data.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipalities</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Institutional support at municipal level</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Availability of economic resources</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Demand for multiple water uses</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Local heritage values related to water</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
evident that dispersal of organisms is insufficiently documented. Biodiversity includes diverse taxa and therefore highly diversified temporal and spatial strategies for dispersal and colonization of new habitats (pathways, distances). Landscape genetics can provide useful information but are too expensive to be applied to a broad number of species. Other, more traditional, techniques like marking can constitute useful complementary approaches. Another key topic is the availability of data on species distribution. This relies on the existence of administrative structures that organize existing data and promote their collection, in Switzerland, for example, the biological record centres InfoFlora and CSCF. As they often rely on volunteers’ data, this implies that networks of volunteers exist and are active. Volunteers, however, often focus on flagship groups (such as amphibians, dragonflies, plants). Subsequent work on those flagship groups is then based on the assumption (rarely tested) that they are also umbrella groups.

Second, for the social acceptance of a pond restoration project, it has to contribute to the overall development and political goals of local and regional actors. The study of land uses and social acceptance is particularly relevant at municipal level. Another finding is that the threat of global warming and its impacts on biodiversity are not readily perceived as a local issue. Therefore such a pond restoration project should address other local interests than just those pertaining to climate change adaptation, for example, the pragmatic need of municipalities to respond to an institutionalized demand for environmental compensation measures. Further, social acceptance is the result of a historical process – it is not given – it emerges through

---

**Figure 10** – Maps of areas of connectivity between source and target ponds produced by step 2 for the umbrella groups used here as tools: dragonflies (A), beetles (B), dragonflies and beetles (C). These maps integrate the information produced by step 2.1 (localization of source and target ponds) and step 2.2 (distance of dispersal of the species), and are coupled with information on resistance of the landscape to dispersal (step 2.3). The final selected areas (map C) is produced by intersecting map A with map B. Map reproduced by permission of swisstopo (BA13130).
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Figure 11 – Localization of the three selected areas favourable for pond creation. The source and target ponds are also indicated. Numbers are the pond reference numbers of the source and target ponds. Map reproduced by permission of swisstopo (BA13130).

social interactions, building on the social capacity of communities to solve conflicts around the use of natural resources and on local heritage value related to freshwater and water bodies.

The next step will now be to put the produced methodology into practice on the selected study site. The creation of the new bodies of water should then be monitored in order to measure success, for the boreo-alpine species and for the local socioeconomic activities. Based on these results, further application and tests of the method will be encouraged in other regions of the Alps. The methodological framework for prioritization of restoration areas proposed here should promote and facilitate actions for conserving freshwater biodiversity in the Alps.
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Appendix 1 – The 41 cold stenotherm species occurring in Swiss wetlands from three groups: aquatic vascular plants, dragonflies and aquatic beetles (e.g. Rosset & Oertli 2011). Statuses of species classified on the Swiss red list are indicated: EN = endangered, VU = vulnerable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular plants</th>
<th>Odonata</th>
<th>Coleoptera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabis subcoriacea, Cardamine amara, Carex frigida, Carex junecella (VU), Carex nigra, Carex norvegica (EN), Carex paupercula, Cochlearia pyrenaica (VU), Dactylorhiza cruciata (VU), Epilobium alpinum, Epilobium nutans, Erigeron angustifolium, Erigeron scheuchzeri), Isoëtes lacustris (VU), Juncus filiformis, Montia fontana (EN), Ranunculus reptans (EN), Saxifraga stellaris, Sparganium angustifolium and Vaccinium microcarpum (VU)</td>
<td>Coenagrion hastulatum, Leucorrhinia dubia, Aeshna subartica (VU), Aeshna caerulea (VU), Somatochlora artica, Somatochlora alpestris, Aeshna juncea</td>
<td>Agabus laponicus, Agabus melanarius (EN), Hydromorus foveolatus, Hydromorus incognitus (EN), Hydromorus marginatus (VU), Hydromorus melanarius, Hydromorus menstruus, Hydromorus nigellus (VU), Hydromorus nigrita, Hydromorus obscurus (VU), Hydromorus sabaudus (H. nivalis) (VU), Ilybius erichsonii und Stictotarsus griseostriatus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>